

Approach and scope

The journal is preferentially orientated to Arabic language and Arab-related issues in al-Andalus and the Maghreb, not only in the past but also in the present. Likewise, it opens up to issues from other fields of Arabity and the widely North Africanists, despite their tangential relationship with the Arabic language, without neglecting issues of near-Eastern Arabism.

This journal publishes papers in Spanish, English, French and Arabic.

Peer review process

The journal will acknowledge receipt of the originals sent by the authors.

The Editorial Board will initially examine the proposals and may reject an article, without the need for evaluation, when it considers that it does not meet the standards, in terms of both form and content, or that it does not fit the thematic profile of the publication.

After this preliminary analysis, all articles will be submitted to an external review process according to the "peer" and "double blind" system, in which two referees will participate, with a third being called upon if necessary. The referees will be researchers of recognised prestige who are specialists in the field. The anonymity of both the author and the reviewers will be maintained.

The external reviewers will send the corresponding review form to the journal, duly completed, within the established period of one month.

Once the evaluation process has been finished, the authors will be informed of the definitive acceptance or rejection of the article.

Each published article will indicate the dates on which it was received and approved.

The Editorial Board will make the decision, taking into account the external reports, on the publication or rejection of each article.

All external reviewers will receive the corresponding document accrediting their collaboration with the journal.

Instructions for referees

Each referee must carefully read the anonymous manuscript and submit a justified and well-argued report on the convenience or not of its publication, including suggestions and guidelines for its improvement if necessary.

The results of the review will be presented in the review form, which can be downloaded from the following address:

<https://revistas.uca.es/index.php/aam/libraryFiles/downloadPublic/121>

Once the review has been completed, the referee must upload the completed review form and any files he/she wishes to attach to the journal's website.

The aspects to be analysed, according to the order of the review form, are the following:

1. Adjustment of the title to the content of the article. The evaluator is invited to express his/her opinion on the concreteness, clarity and relevance of the title of the article in relation to the content of the article.
2. Originality and scientific contribution. Both the innovation and originality of the proposed topic and the scientific contribution of the work should be analysed, in accordance with the objectives and thematic of the journal, also identifying aspects or points of the work that may not be appropriate for publication in a scientific journal.
3. Organisation of the work and clarity of exposition. A structural analysis of the manuscript is requested from the referee. A logical organisation adjusted to the specific type of work presented will be evaluated positively.
4. Accuracy and clarity in the presentation and objectives. In this point, the referee must consider the methodological aspects of the article, emphasising the coherence between the objectives, hypotheses, development and conclusions of the work.
5. Assessment of the conclusions of the work. In accordance with its specific typology, the work must be complete and include valid conclusions, clearly supported and justified by the data provided in it.
6. Assessment of the relevance and quality of the tables, graphs and other illustrations in the work, if any.
7. Bibliography used and citations. The referee will assess the relevance and quantity of the bibliographical references, highlighting any possible absences of fundamental works produced in the area of knowledge or on the theme of the paper. They will also analyse the depth, variety and relevance of the citations included by the author, as well as their compliance with the journal's standards.
8. Formal analysis of the work. The referee is asked to provide a formal analysis of the paper, which must be clearly presented, neatly written and grammatically correct. The adequacy of the abstract will also be analysed, as well as the key words.
9. Length of the work. The referee will consider the length of the manuscript in relation to both the objectives stated by the author and the depth of treatment necessary for an adequate scientific presentation of the results of the study proposed.
10. Final evaluation. Using the information gathered in the previous points, the referee must issue his/her assessment of the relevance or otherwise of the publication of the work, in accordance with the following table.

Recommendation for acceptance	
Recommended for publication as is	
Recommended for publication with modifications (points 11 and 12)	

Not recommended for publication in <i>Al-Andalus Magreb</i>	
<i>Note: The final decision is the responsibility of the Editor.</i>	

11. Recommendation of modifications. In the event that the referee considers it necessary for the author to make one or more modifications in order to publish the work, these will be summarised in the following table.

Modification of in-text or bibliographic citation systems	
Adaptation of the document to the template	
The quality and clarity of the figures should be improved.	
The wording should be improved	
Other remarks	

12. Additional remarks and specific suggestions. The table in the previous point may be completed with comments and suggestions included either in the referee's own evaluation document or in attached files, which must be uploaded together with the evaluation document to the journal's website.
13. Suggestions for other external referees. *Al-Andalus Magreb* would like to thank the referees for any recommendations they may have for other experts to whom the manuscript should be sent after analysing the work.

The journal will be grateful to the referees for being careful, even in aspects of form concerning misprints, correction of citations, punctuation errors, spacing, accents, etc. The publication guidelines can be found at:

<https://revistas.uca.es/index.php/aam/about/submissions>

We strongly request that the report be submitted within a maximum of one month.

In addition, and no less important, we ask that special care be taken to check that the manuscript and its content is consistent with the code of ethics and good publishing practices to which the journal adheres, as well as to analyse its compliance with the specific recommendations on the use of inclusive language, in accordance with the journal's policy of good editorial practices in gender equality.

<https://revistas.uca.es/index.php/aam/about>

All communications between the referees and the journal must necessarily be made through the journal's website, and we kindly invite you to abstain using alternative means, in order to comply with the transparency and traceability requirements of the peer review process of our journal.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.