

EVALUATION REPORT

We would be very grateful if you could submit your evaluation of the attached article within five weeks, considering the criteria outlined in the evaluation form.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The evaluation process is essential to ensure the quality and rigor of the articles we publish. Therefore, reviewers must assess the manuscript based on its relevance, pertinence, originality, and quality.

Ethical Considerations

- Only manuscripts on topics within the reviewer's area of expertise should be evaluated.
- The evaluation must be objective, constructive, and impartial.
- If there is any conflict of interest—meaning the reviewer has any kind of relationship with the author that could bias the evaluation—it must be declared, and the reviewer should refrain from conducting the evaluation.
- The content of both the manuscript and the evaluation must remain confidential.
- If any indications of plagiarism are detected, the editorial team must be informed.

You can consult the ethical commitments of reviewers here: [Ethical Commitments](#)

You can consult the Pragmalingüística editing guidelines here: [Editing Guidelines](#)

I. Quality and originality

Overall assessment of the **quality** of the article.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Insufficient

Originality and relevance of the topic and content in relation to the journal's scope.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Interest for readers and the scientific community. Please select the options that apply:

- Relevance of the topic – The article addresses a highly relevant issue in the field.
- Originality – It presents novel ideas, innovative approaches, or significant new data.
- Theoretical contribution – It advances concepts, models, or theories in the discipline.
- Methodological contribution – It proposes or applies innovative or improved research methods.
- Review of the state of the art – It provides a comprehensive and up-to-date analysis of existing literature.
- Potential impact – It may influence future research.
- Not of much interest.

II. Structure and writing

The **abstract** includes objective(s), methodology, main results, and most relevant conclusions.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Overall content organization in accordance with the journal's guidelines.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Correct use of academic language. Clarity, elegance, and conciseness of style.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Introduction content: justification of the study, presentation of general and specific objectives.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Theoretical framework and literature review. Use of recent, relevant, and rigorous **bibliography**.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Formulation of **hypotheses, objectives**, and/or research **questions**.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Description of research **methodology** (sample, data collection instruments and procedures, validity of the instruments used).

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Are all the **variables** needed for the analysis included? In particular, is the variable of gender included if it is relevant to the research?

- Yes
- No
- Not relevant

Data **analysis** and representation of **results**. Scientific rigor and depth of analysis.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Discussion of results, critical commentary, and relation to other studies.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Final **conclusions** in relation to the objectives or research questions.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Implications in the field of the topic, and if applicable, study **limitations** and future research directions.

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Unacceptable

BRIEF JUSTIFICATION REPORT. Remarks and recommendations for authors

Please include the following information in your comments:

- a) The reasons justifying your overall evaluation.
- b) The most significant weaknesses that need to be addressed (if any).
- c) Minor aspects that could be improved (if any).
- d) Any other relevant comments related to the items in the report.

Comments to the editorial board

Please advise the editorial board of any further considerations or comments (especially on the acceptability of the manuscript). This information will not be communicated to the authors.

Recommendation

- Accept Submission
- Revisions Required
- Resubmit for Review
- Resubmit Elsewhere
- Decline Submission
- See Comments